IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4210 OF 2004

Manoj Ganpat Ingale ... Applicant

versus

State of Maharashtra ... Respondent

...

Mr. R.S. Dube, for the Applicant.

Mr. K.V. Saste, A.P.P., for the Respondent.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

11th October 2004

P.C.:

. Counsel for the Applicant fairly accepts that since charges have already been framed and only five witnesses will have to be examined, the trial can be concluded in a reasonable period of six months. It was suggested to him that he may either proceed with the trial and if the same does not conclude within six months from today, he can be given liberty to apply for bail afresh. This suggestion is acceptable to the Counsel for the Applicant. He prays that the Applicant be

permitted to withdraw this application. Hence, dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed. It is made clear that I have not considered the merits of the case and that aspect can be considered as and when occasion arises. It is further made clear that the Applicant shall co-operate the trial Court in early disposal of the case and if the trial Court is of the opinion that the Applicant is responsible for delay in the conduct of the trial, that fact may be recorded by the trial Court in the roznama, which can be relevant consideration for considering the fresh application proposed to be filed by the Applicant. Disposed of accordingly.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)